Testing. Testing. Hi, everyone. We were going to start at 5:00, but we realized that there's another -- a couple of workshops that are still operating. So we're going to give them about five or so minutes, okay, and then we'll start.

Okay, I think we might make a start now. We're starting to get a nice little crowd gathering here. Thank you very much. And welcome to -- welcome to everyone here. I do realize that, you know, you're sort of like staying behind after the end of the program and such and I guess it's -- and I do have to thank you for coming at this hour to take part in what is the third compilation of the APrIGF 2017 synthesis document. I'll just wait until these guys come in. Oh, it's the young
ones.

[LAUGHTER]

MAUREEN: That's good. Yes, it is nice to see that the whole class has come in. Thank you very much. It's really great to have you all here. I guess it's, you know, a occupational hazard for us that our session can't start until the rest of the program has actually finished because we're relying on the content, your impressions and your views and opinions of the consent that you've actually sort of like being -- hearing and reacting to that we need to get feedback on for this particular document.

Just to give an introduction for those of you who may not have been involved in a -- the construction of the synthesis document before, it apparently started as a germ of an idea during a meeting at the APrIGF meeting in New Delhi in 2014 so that in the 2015 meeting, they developed the synthesis document as a type of experiment to see what could result from it and what value it might bring to recording the views of the Asia pacific region. And it was such a re-sounding success that it was repeated last year, and this is when Chad and I became involved in my first synthesis document. And we enjoyed it so much, here we are.

But I think that what we didn't realize after we'd had the town hall sessions and we'd had lots of input from the community, that in fact the job doesn't finish here. We spent nearly two months -- it was around about two months of teleconferences and e-mails and a magnificent crew of people who were actually at the conference who actually helped us reconstruct all the comments and inputs that were made into the document and we reformed it into something that Jennifer was able to take to the take away to the global IGF.
And this is one of the really, really important aspects of this particularly document, is that, as Jen will explain later, it's actually going to be this year part of a workshop at the global IGF in Geneva. And I think that one of the really, really important things about this document is that it actually reflects the views and opinions of the people who attend this particular conference.

It's really important that it is put up, that people's views, as they come forward. And we're going to be asking you very shortly to line up behind the mics and to tell us what was on top for you, you know, from the meeting and what sort of contributions you would make to a document that reflects the views of the people -- the participants at this conference. At this time, I'd like to pass on to Jennifer who's going to -- who's just been an integral part of this whole process, and she's sort of like the driver.

She sort of like tells us what we've got to do, but she's also a very staunch motivator and she's very passionate about this. But she's going to sort of like not show you what the document may look like and she's going to also for those who haven't participated in the actual contribution process, the public comment, we'd like to demonstrate this so that you can't say that you don't know how to do it. But, you know, I think that it will be really important that everybody is aware of how they can actually their voice heard in this document. So it's all yours.

JENNIFER CHUNG: Thank you so much, Maureen. My name is Jennifer Chung, and I work for the APrIGF secretariat. Hello? Now I can be heard. Thank you very much Maureen for that wonderful introduction. My name is Jennifer Chung. I'm part of the APrIGF secretariat team supporting on the synthesis document. So a little bit about the process so far. We had the
first open input period that was about two-weeks and lasted from the 6th to the 19th of July. The general int pause listed from the four subthemes derived from the subshops this year, and you can see the four themes on the sides there.

We did receive some comments, some very passionate comments about certain topics, but we do definitely want a lot more comments and a lot more input from everybody here, as well as all those very key people that are joining us remotely. Jeff is currently open for commenting throughout APrIGF 2017 in so you can go into this platform while you're in a session, and you're hearing something you think this is a key issue. This should be in this document.

Please go there, jot it down, before you forget this great gem of an idea, this great comment, this great discussion you're having throughout the conference. So with that, I'll show you a little bit about the mechanics about the commenting platform if you're not already familiar. As you can see here, this is the open platform for the synthesis document. Each paragraph has a paragraph number and next to it there is a little icon with a plus sign that will allow you to put a comment in.

For example, if I'm going to test a comment here on this heading, I click there, you input your name, your e-mail, and your comment, and that's just that simple. You can do that for all and any of the paragraphs you see in this document. And the purpose is mainly to allow the drafting committee, which we will explain later, to take these comments and input and synthesize all these comments into the synthesis document for this year. So here's a brief timeline of the process. We're currently in -- and you can see the red here. We're currently in the town
hall session one. We will have another town hall session tomorrow at the end of the day.

And at the closing plenary, there will be some comments and also the way forward will be explained on how the synthesis document will look like. On August 11th, there will be a draft one, which is going to be the document as synthesized with all the input and comments we received during the -- during the conference and also after the conference because we know some people might need a little more time to think about what they've heard here and think about how they want it reflected in the document.

On August 16th, we will have a webinar two. So this webinar will be at the start of the public comment two to explain to everyone what the synthesis document might look like, what the drafting committee will do, and at the end of the public comment two, we will have a draft two for finalization and we hope to publish the document on September the 13th. So besides what I showed you a little earlier about commenting on the commenting platform on the website, you can also join the drafting committee. I will let Maureen tell you a little bit more about this, but this is an open and voluntary group. It is open to everybody in the community to participate, and maybe Maureen can say a little bit more about what theory doing.

>> Not much more. Okay. One of the things that we have actually asked for is volunteers. You will notice that in the program, because the document is actually going to reflect the categories that our workshops have actually been divided into. Okay. And you will notice, if you look at your program, there's the different colored sections and they -- the workshops are in those colors. And so we're actually looking at getting volunteers who may want to look at the different sections, the
categories which I'm just going to look at. Gen is just going to go over this. She's gone over on a slide.

>> I'll go back to the slide where it shows the subthemes. Here it is. So the drafting committee is currently soliciting volunteers to kind of head the four subthemes. The first one is access, empowerment and diversity. The second is security, cyber security, privacy and safe for internet. The third is digital economy and enabling invasions and the fourth is human rights and the internet.

>> And I think, too, that like -- and these -- these four things are all sort of like categorized under the theme of our APrIGF of this year, of course, which is insuring an inclusive and sustainable development in Asia pacific. And so that's the theme. These are the categories. So you know while you're -- while you're presenting your intervention, it would be really handy if you can sort of like highlight to us which one of those categories -- first of all, please give your name so we can write you down and assign you to a group. And also that your intervention relates to a particular category would be really handy for us. Okay? So is there -- yeah. We'd like to open up the floor if anyone would like to start making some comments. We'll be taking note.

>> I would like to be the first. I have two comment. The first comment is -- and the first comment is I would suggest to uniform all the workshop -- have to leave enough time for floor to ask the question or making a comment. Some of the workshop, the presentation just too long and they don't leave any time for the people to make comment or question so I think maybe this we can inform the Court. This is first comment in general.

The second comment I like to make is I think in the IGF or in all the internet events, we are continuing pushing into the next building, next buildings, next buildings. We have
assumption for lots of people is not get under net. They have ability or possibility or willing to be heard and lending the skill to get into the cyber space with us like we are doing today. This is where there's a big opinion of 100 million or 200 million of the people. They just cannot be convert or to be trained and good enough to use in the new devices get onto the cyber space. Or they don't want them.

We're going to up -- I don't think so because unless you know better, they want to use in the new technology or not, they are human being. We cannot bend them. So in the case, how we can deal with that. Because this part in many of the internet meeting, whatever, the IGF, or 70 million, we never discuss about this issue. We just talking about we looking for the next building. We talking about next building. And some people, they just don't want it. So I think that's my second comment.

>> Thank you. Yes, we'll pass that message on about the workshops being a little lengthy and not allowing you time to give your intervention or questions. And yes, if you could summarize your comment and put it on the thing, that would be awesome. Thank you very much. In the section on Xs. Yeah, if you wouldn't mind that. Do we have anyone else want to -- yeah, just line up. It would be great if we had a line.

>> Give us your name, please.

>> So we've been hearing about disability and the need for persons with disability to have access to the internet, but of course what can be seen as a disability, I mean the physical features, lack of hands, eye sight, et cetera, put what about disability in terms of, you know, people suffering from who are mental health. It could be at any age and for example, depression cognitive disability. So I was wondering, is there any way we can prevent such people being exploited by social
media businesses via the internet? For example, marketing of medication is probably one example I can think of.

>> Great. Put them into an intervention. Put it online. Great. Anything? Andrew, got anything? Climate change?

>> I might as well form a QUE. Just a a general comment, I really think this has been a very valuable experiment if it is still an experiment for the last two years of APrIGF and it's been really excellent to see it continue here. It was great to see the first effort and then the improvements that were made last time. And I think one of the great things that was done last time was to really clearly link the outcome document, the synthesis document with what happened during the event because after all, this is not exactly an open forum to capture everything that might be said in this room.

It's really about bringing together things that happened through the whole event. One of the very useful things was just the references in the last document, the references of all of the points that were made back to the sessions where they were made. So someone who could read that document, they could see something that was important or interesting to them, they could go back to the actual archive of the session and what was said and learn more about it.

That's also part of the trial of bringing the discussions of the week through into this document, making it more transparent and more accessible, as well. So I hope that will happen again. And I just would like to encourage all who are here to please think about what went on during the day today, but also when we come back for the next session is to think about what's going on that's been most meaningful and most clear and impactful in what's happened during APrIGF so this things can go into the document and we can see where they came from and pass them on into the rest of the process.
After all, this is about us being part of something that's happening globally that's about have this region having out say and bringing out points of view and having them recognized. And I've listened to in the rest of the IGF processes. So I hope the line will grow behind Nigel here because we've obviously got a bit more time to reflect on today. Thank you.

>> Thank you. Jim will respond after Nigel's had his say.

NIGEL: Yes, thank you. It's a pleasure to be here. ICANN meetings, we have this, as you know, we have a que. I'm encouraged to stop. But this is my first Asia pacific regional IGF and it really is a pleasure to be here. And I think from my perspective that the synthesis document is a really excellent idea because -- and the way you have it in this dynamic sense, capturing workshops as they go on, the ability of people to put in ideas, I think it's marvelous.

I mean, it obviously puts extra onus on the editing of the document. But I think it's really worthwhile. The only thing I've wondered at the front is whether you and perhaps you do this anyway, whether you have a paragraph on the sort of, you know, on how many people, you know, attended, how many countries, et cetera but I'm sure you do that. And unfortunately, I won't be here in your town hall session tomorrow, but I think this is a really excellent staff. Thank you.

>> Thank you, Nigel.

>> Hello. I'm from Pakistan. I have a little comment regarding learning and the journey. It's my physically face to face, and I want to emphasize that when you talk about in terms of women connecting the next billions and educating the people and making them online, we have -- we also need to educate them about the failures and the negative impacts of the internet and connectivity technology. Like for now, after this technological
revolution, the social contacts, physical context, within the family or within the like social circle, the dynamics has changed.

We as a human being are more comfortable with the face to face and more like hang on sort of scenario. Like the most young age, it's not aware of the site like the negative aspects of reducing the physical context and especially within the close relatives and the family members which is really an issue, which is also of the depression or some very serious issues. So we should also like emphasize on the failures of the technology at the same time we encourage them to use them.

>> Thank you. And it would be really good if you write that intervention up because we can actually sort of like meld it in with other statements.

>> Sure.

>> Thank you. And Jen.

>> Okay, I can hear it. So this is Jennifer from the secretariat. Just responding quickly to Paul and Nigel. Yes, we are definitely planning on doing the reference back to each and every workshop where the comments and input have been discussed and stated. And Nigel's question about whether we're capturing how many people attended and what kind of sessions, we're definitely doing that, as well.

We do have a conference report that is created after each APrIGF and starting from last year, we included that as an appendix to the synthesis document. So all the logistics of gender diversity, diversity of regions and also economies, all of that will be captured for each panel, each session, each workshop. So that's a lot of data that we definitely do capture and do include with the synthesis document. Just wanted to scroll quickly through the document if people haven't taken a look at it yet.
There are a number of paragraphs that explain at the very beginning. You can see there's a background of what it is. And then there's a purpose. The introduction of how it came to be. And then we get into the meat of the issues. We have the four separate themes that we've talked about earlier. And this text we see here, this is basically a placeholder text that has been derived from the workshop that we accepted and the workshop you're attending right now.

So each of these links, these little foot note references, are references to the workshops you're currently attending. So if you're going to a workshop about ego residence, and you think about is this really what we discussed in the workshop, you can actually go and click on this paragraph and put your thoughts in. If you think that, oh, this is a great issue that we really need to expand more on, put your text here. Put your thoughts here, and we will then synthesize the document accordingly.

As I scroll down a little further, you can see the second subtheme. There's security, cyber security, privacy, and safe for internet. The same thing is going to happen here. You'll see these links. I'm going to click on one to show you what it looks like. I'll click on this one. And it brings you down to all the foot notes down here that give you the detail of each and every workshop and panel.

So when you're in these workshops and you're listening to something or you're making a statement or making interventions that you think are very key, please do and go to this online commenting platform and put in you are thoughts. One thing I wanted to actually point out and flag is I'm really happy to see that this is already a comment from one of our participants on this actual platform. So we do have one. Let's hope to see a lot more. I know there's going to be a lot of people who are going to be thinking about and developing on what they've heard
today, but please do think about it, dwell on it, just put it in and we can then synthesize all these comments together. So this document can really reflect what has been discussed here and can really reflect what is important to our community.

>> And just to -- just in the process of last year, there were really like many comments and one of the exciting jobs of the volunteers who I hope will, you know, come in drones around the drafting is to look at this text. You know, let's say -- and some of them might not -- at first glance, they might actually contradict each other.

But if in the end, if you have a group of people looking at it, it's also a process of actually discussing the consent. And it works out in the end, that it does reflect. We've made sure it reflects diversity around the issues. But at the same time, it also builds on what was there before. So I also like to invite you to sort of review what we had before, the synthesis document we had before will give you an idea of what kinds of things, you know, what kinds of concepts or issues can mix it to the synthesis document.

>> Hi. I just wanted to make a comment to propose maybe an additional section on talking about capacity building in internet governance. So since the theme this time is on talking about sustainable development, I think we should have one entire session that's just dedicated to the activities around the building, such as the newcomers capacity day or encouraging in fact participation in IG issues. Thanks.

>> Definitely take that on board.

>> Just a quick I guess logistical comment from the secretariat. That's a really great idea that I hope everybody will also think about if they want other topics to be included. That's not currently reflected on this document. How you can do it is I would really just try to leave it as a comment on the
very first paragraph. If you want a new section, like what Joyce mentioned, if you want capacity building, click on the very first paragraph. It's just called background. So we'll know we can keep track of any additional sections that people want to see in the document. So I'm just going to do that for you, Joyce.

EDMOND: Hello. Edmond from Asia. I wasn't sure whether we were jumping into the substance of this document for comments. Okay, good. So looking at the privacy section and there was -- I just want to bring up one of the things that was mentioned. I don't know whether she's in the room or not. If so, I hope she would come to the mic and add to this. One of the things that's really important I think that she mentioned is about the balance between privacy and security. The key aspect of what data are actually being retained or, you know, and how data is being -- how should I say --

>> Just a quick comment. If you go to the number ten, it is access empowerment and diversity. And number 19 is internet logs. So I think it's kind of a repetition. If you make number one reliable access, you don't need number 19 here. It will be automatically covered in number one. If you make access, reliable access, then put number 19 in there so you're automatically covered. So we do not need a separate section for that. That's what I think. I go through that, I will give them and comment on that again. But that's I think when I look at that in the first place.

>> Yeah, I think it's fine to have those comments and then when we look at all the different -- obviously, you have other comments and there might be -- what we found last year is that sometimes there's like similar comments or other people comment on other peoples comments, which is also fine because then they miss the conversation going on. And there could be differences
of opinion or building up on each others comments so that's also fine. And when we look at it after the first commenting period, then we see what makes sense. So yeah, please put.

>> I think that last year when we were doing, where he had sort of like a heating that we had here. And we develop subheading. So that's probably -- it's very reliant on the types of comments that come from everybody and it's sort of done communicatively.

>> Is that it again? In the hopes of sparking a little more discussion, building on what Joyce was saying in terms of passive building, we have a lot of our youth here and you've just gone through a little of the capacity building. What do you want to see in that and what do you want us to -- what do we want to tell the world about how to engage, how to do the capacity building and how to engage the youth?

What worked for you and didn't work for you could also be included in this statement that would -- I think that would add a lot of substance to the document itself. So I'd like to invite those who are going through that process to comment and tell us what didn't work and what we should say about that capacity building for youth.


>> Thank you very much. We are talking about the rights of ability -- I'd like to request two things very important point. One is like the system, where you have level of. We need to make it more accessible. And then we could empower the system without -- and I can check it tonight, is it accessible or not? A

nd accessibility is not only intended for the other questions but the language also need to be more friendly because if you use a very -- many people are not able to understand the
document. And the other point is there is a -- only one human rights was connected by the UN. And generally that is the UN conventional rights or -- so we could follow that principle during drafting this synthesis of women. And also there is -- document also consider pioneer adapting that synthesis document. Thank you very much.

>> Thank you. Very important.

>> Since I'm holding it down, I'll be comfortable. Now, comment on what the previous speaker said about complicated language it. Relates to something that I was thinking about before but hesitated to actually say, but maybe I'll say it now. The document that we see and first of all, following up comments by other people, I thoroughly agree that this is a brilliant way of controlling, keeping track of the discussions through the APrIFGI think it should be just accepted as the way we do it from now on.

It's really, really a good mechanism: However, the process of consultation and drawing in comments from all around the region like this has -- because it is a fairly formal process and because it is edited and recorded by people who have knowledge about the issues, highly knowledge, it is tending to look like a sort of document without daring to say its name. You know, it looks like a UN document. And that relates to the previous speakers comment about complicated language. It is formal language.

I wouldn't say it's bureaucratic language, but it is formal. It needs to be formal because it is concepts and ideas expressed by people with high level training and experience in the law, academic, the government, industry, and so on. I don't know whether that's a problem. I think it's probably inevitable, but it relates to -- it's something you need to take into account when dealing with capacity building because it's
something you need to explain to the youth delegation, that this is not just a forum where you can express ideas, you know, check ideas out there into the air and just let them float. Ideas have consequences.

They need to relate to the people who said them and they need to be attached to some sector of the MSG process. They need -- ideas have an origin and it's useful for us all to know the origin of the ideas, who sent them, who expressed them, what credentials those people may have for expressing those ideas. You know, a document like this that lacks like a UN document needs to explain, dare I say it, the credentials of the sectors of the people who expressed the ideas.

I mean, I came here. I'm wearing two hats in a sense, I'm wearing a civil society hat from the information library sector. But I also am a public servant a public official of one country in the region, but I don't speak for them, but I do invisibly wear that hat, as well. So any comments I make here would be in my private capacity, my civil society capacity, as somebody who believes in the social and economic and cultural benefits of open access to information.

For example, but that is just underlines the point that the credentials of the sectors contributing to the synthesis document should perhaps be made explicit somewhere at the end in some sort of an annex, perhaps, just an idea. Sorry, I've spoken too long but I'll leave that to you now.

That's fair enough. I think like we have seen that the target audience eventually is going to be global idea. But then again, you know, like it's not just going to the UN. It's not just going to the UN people. It's going to people like us. So, you know, we do have to be myself that it's going to be understood by everyone. It's got to be user friendly.
I've made the comments through the system, but I have another comment is on the general structure of the documents. I don't know where to place it in these paragraphs so I've presented orally. We look at the substance of the documents. They are currently four sections. I'm aware that this section two and section four are closely related.

Actually, I raise this issue as a drafting committee, but that was very early stage. I ask now the time to discuss to change the sequence or to edit it. Right, and the section two involves security and the privacy and section four is on the human rights and internet. I'm well aware of that, security, safety and privacy is closely related to human rights issues.

And especially I see the right to be forgotten and under the EUGDPR is exactly the components in privacy rights is actually included in section four rather than section two. So what I'm suggesting, probably we've moved these two if we want to maintain these two sections, we place them back to back and move sections three from digital economy to the very end. I don't think digital economy is not important.

Actually, just the opposite. Digital economy is very important for Asia pacific and we have special strings on that. And I firmly believe we've got to emphasize at IGF that we have very successful digital economy in this region. And it could be placed at the very end. I don't know whether this is sinking to put human right at very back just because human right is very important, so it should be used at any part. It is important we don't have to play as human right in very ending part. Well, probably you have some special thinking about the sequence, I guess. Okay.

Thank you for that. I think that one of the things that we will do as we did last year, is that our -- our meetings became quite flexible. And so that we adapted according to the
information that we actually got from the participants. So, you know, it's actually quite fluid. So like at this very, very early stage, we're probably, you know, put things into the things. And as contributions are made and we sort of like start synthesizing, you know, that it's going to become more obvious that some of the titles that we have may not be the most relevant and that they may need to be reworded.

>> Yeah, I think at the moment it's based on the subthemes. But I agree, it might be that we break it up into smaller pieces and we could have what you call a cross cut, like human rights can be a framework, you know, framework around that or something. You know, that also if people feel that there are concepts or frameworks that can be -- that can be right above, that might also be useful and then you have like specific issues -- critical issues in the region that could also be the way out of it.

MILLIE: Hi, my name is Millie, and I'm an APrIGF fellow. So in response, I'm a direct beneficiary of the capacity building initiatives. And it's a great opportunity and thank you for all the folks who have been working towards it. I do endorse the commitment that we should have the capacity building and it should have a separate section in the document. My comment is on two different aspects, one on the structure side of it. While there are many capacity building initiatives and my journey with IgG started with one of those, I think they're still too fragmented and there's a lot of complexity to the structure.

For newcomers, it can be overwhelming and if there was a way to stream loin or make each of them talk to each other -- ultimately, the goal is to make the community as big as possible, to assimilate our thoughts. So that is something we could probably look at working on. On the other side, it's
about when we come to the synthesis document, as you mentioned clearly, that while it is something that goes to the UN and it sort of puts our thoughts together and Maureen said it is also sold as a document for all of us here and the ones who are not here to understand what actually happened.

So I highly recommend if there was a way we could break it up or have two versions, a formal one with readings, all the things that are more important and stated in a particular way or written in a particular way, to be used in a formal environment. But also more simplified, easy to need, easy to use, more accessible version that could be used for a lot of those capacity building initiatives can be spread in different ways to different people to a lot of participants who could not be here who may not be here for a few more events like that.

So it will -- everything that we to here will talk about to a lot of these youth initiatives, to a lot of the young kids here who might not understand -- but if the information can be broken down and lastly, if it is available on an ongoing basis, while the documents here and it will always remain on this Microsoft forever, it's likely that after the event is over or after the document is drafted and moves, most of us will not go back to look at what happened, but I think if there is a particular portal or digital or otherwise in a publication sort of sense, everything that we discussed is recorded in a more user friendly manner, not just for people who are participating but to encourage more people to participate and to also take the word out.

Because ultimately when we're deciding or discussing things about internet governance here, we're all working towards the end user which includes all of us as consumers or internet and if we don't understand what's happening and why and who's making these decisions, all things we do here probably serve no
purpose. So I guess I've spoken about a couple of different things and I will command each one individually, as well, but I would just like everybody, including the young people, especially, and all the other fellows to think of how we can make it a lot more accessible for our generation.

Yes, we may not be able to fully understand these documents yet in terms of our capacity, our education levels, our language skills, et cetera, so we use Facebook slang, et cetera, a lot of these platforms in a more user friendly way and how can we use those technologies to make this a more user friendly platform.

Thank you.

>> Thank you. That's fantastic. Yes, we've just been given a little note that we've got no minutes left. So we do have to wrap up for this evening's town hall session. Thank you very much for your contributions. Don't forget, get online. If there was something that really stuck in your mind today, please make a comment. Doesn't have to be sort of like, you know, major, just some sort of comment that actually will might trigger off a conversation with other people who might see it and want to add to it. Have you got anything else to add? That's it? Okay. I guess we should --.

>> Paragraph 16, there's a few comments already there. Maybe refresh the screen. Yeah, that one. Already submit -- so I want to credit find because that coming from the meeting. We discuss a lot about -- approach for government -- the policy to the -- natures. There are some technical limitation that lead to also the use experience. And it leads to right -- just note the key concept because from the workshop, only focused on the trust but from the workshop, the different perspective coming from communities.

And the other thing on the open on economy and trades, I put in bracket, I read the workshop 29s that are not the words
on trades and expression for accommodating. Because of what was lead to using a trade and it's an etrade for initiative that run by on time. And have to understand what the meaning of trade and commerce. Commerce seems to be more for the small SMEs, micro-SMEs and when you talk about trade, it's binding with the corporation that our big muscle. You talk about trade. The corporation, the multilateral, multinational corporation.

So I think we have to consider the word trade, whether it should be commerce because that's how we access. But if you move away from commerce and go to trade, it's in the hand of -- to try to push etrade for all -- to replace the whole e-commerce. And that's you have to see the facilitation -- proposed -- and as you're aware, the big muscle, even mentioned that e-commerce already exist because he already work on the etrade initiative.

The EWTP launch in Malaysia, it's express the new rules in the commerce which should be considered the committees and that's a viewpoint, a compliment, and maybe we can discuss more during the workshop on Saturday. But for me, I think it's too early to say that is a trade, due to economy and trade. So that's why I put the bracket of commerce and accept it.

>> Thank you. Thank you very much. And this is precisely what we're hoping to get people thinking about and actually putting in comments as we're in the town hall session. Stand up and provide a little more. And another thing I want to point out, the text here is placeholder text just specifically to provoke you to think about these things, to think about, do I agree with this? Is this what's really being said? Do I want to state it in a different man insure I want to encourage everybody and especially planning out what was said earlier about how to get capacity building.
You want a document in a different text, simpler text, please go ahead and put in the comment what you would hope to see or how you would think this should be rephrased to actually appeal to or speak to your generation.

Lastly, I want to remind everybody that there is a synthesis document town hall session tomorrow at the same time from 5:00 to 6:00 in the same room. I hope to see everybody here. More people here, more comments and more input. And there's some logistical announcements I have to make.

There is a social happening after this, and the volunteers will guide you to where it's going to be. Also, from the secretariat, to please RSVP for the closing social and the secretariat will be sending a closing e-mail to all participants on how to do that.

>> And volunteers for the drafting committee, come on, before you go, come up here, we'll get your names and e-mails down. Please. It's going to be fun.

>> It's okay. I've got your names here already. I've assigned you to a group. Anybody who got up and spoke, you're in a group. Okay. See you tomorrow. BYE.

[APPLAUSE]

(Proceedings concluded at 5:30 p.m.)